Case Number: 7-15-4-23-9-14-19

Authors/Creators:

Daniel Clayton Wood, Sui Juris Deborah Ann Boehm, Sui Juris Brian Edward Steiner, Sui Juris Joseph Micheal Grimm, Sui Juris

Address: 17253 North Rosemont Street Maricopa, Arizona 85138

Email: dcwsparky@protonmail.com Phone Number: (520) 759-6783

#### SUPERIOR COURT OF ARIZONA,

## MARICOPA, ARIZONA

Case No.: Daniel Clayton Wood, Sui Juris

Joseph Michael Grimm, Sui Juris

Original, Writ Deborah Ann Boehm, Sui Juris

Brian Edward Steiner, Sui Juris

MATTER OF NECCESSITY FOR THE Plaintiff, Sui Juris **FURTHERANCE OF JUSTICE** 

> ARCP - RULE 26, A.R.S 12-1841

**DEMANDED** 

VS. CONSTITUTIONAL CHALLENGE TO STATUTES STATE OFARIZONA PRESIDENT

OF THE SENATE, WARREN PETERSON ET. AL., STATE OF ARIZONA SPEAKER OF THE HOUSE, BEN TOMA ET. AL., STATE **OF ARIZONA ATTORNEY** GENERAL, KRIS MAYES ET. AL.

TRIAL BY GRAND JURY

**Defendant** 

## **Notice of Constitutional Questions**

# NOTICE TO PRINCIPAL IS NOTICE TO AGENT AND NOTICE TO AGENT IS NOTICE TO PRINCIPAL

"In the beginning God created the heaven and the earth... So God created man in his own image, in the image of God created he him; male and female created he them."

# -Authorized King James Version, Genesis 1:1, 27-Almighty God

"We the People of the United States, in Order to form a more perfect Union, establish Justice, ensure domestic Tranquility, provide for the common defense, promote the general Welfare, and secure the Blessings of Liberty to ourselves and our Posterity, do ordain and establish this Constitution for the United States of America."

# -The Preamble of the Constitution of the United States- We the People

"We the People of the State of Arizona, grateful to Almighty God for our liberties, do ordain this Constitution."

# -The Preamble of the Arizona Constitution- We the People

COME NOW Plaintiffs, Daniel Clayton Wood, Joseph Michael Grimm, Deborah Ann Boehm, and Brian Edward Steiner hereby file this petition for a Constitutional Challenge to statutes for Original Writ and in support thereof, will show unto "The State of Arizona", Arizona Superior Court of Record as follows:

## **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

**State of Arizona Constitution Article 2 Section 32** 

"The provisions of this Constitution are **mandatory**, unless by express words they are declared to be otherwise." See Exhibit 4.

Charles A. Weisman, **Maxims of Law**, Weisman Publications, Burnsville, Minnesota, 1990. See Preface.

Black's Law Dictionary, 2nd Edition. MAXIM, An established principle or proposition. A principle of law universally admitted, as being a correct statement of the law, or as agreeable to natural reason. Coke defines a maxim to be "conclusion of reason," and says that is so called "quia maxima ejus dignitas et certissima auctoritas, et quod maxine omnibus probetur" Co. Litt.11a. He says in another place: "A maxime is a proposition to be of all men confessed and granted without proof, argument, or discourse." Id. 67a.

"Maxims of Law, like any other fundamental laws, always hold true and yield the same results under the same conditions."

## **Maxims of Law:**

**66e.** Jurisdiction is a power introduced for the public good, on account of the necessity of dispensing justice. [10 coke, 73a.]

# Black's Law Dictionary, 3rd Edition.

**Sui Juris-** Lat. Of his own right; possessing full social and civil rights; not under any legal disability, or the power of another, or guardianship. Having capacity to manage one's own affairs; not under legal disability to act for one's self. [**Story**,

**Ag.** § 2.]

- 2. The United States Bill of Rights 1<sup>st</sup>, 5<sup>th</sup>, 7<sup>th</sup>, and 9<sup>th</sup> Amendments. See Exhibit 2.
- 3. The Arizona Declaration of Rights Article 2 Sections 4, 5, and 11. See Exhibit 4.
- Common Law jurisdiction is necessary for the People of Arizona, this
   Constitutionally mandated Court of Record shall be the rule of decision in all

courts of this state pursuit to [A.R.S. 1-201. Adoption of common law; exceptions.] and [A.R.S. 12-122. General power of the superior court]. See Exhibit 7.

- 5. Jurisdiction pursuant to [A.R.S. 12-102(A). Jurisdiction and duties.] and [A.R.S. 12-123(B). Jurisdiction and powers]. See Exhibit 7.
- 6. Jurisdiction is proper pursuant to [*A.R.S. 12-1841. Parties; notice of claim of unconstitutionality*]. See Exhibit 7.
- 7. Venue is proper pursuant to [A.R.S. 12-401. Venue]. See Exhibit 7.

# II. PARTIES

# **Arizona Constitution Article 2 Section 1**

"A frequent recurrence to the fundamental principles is essential to the security of individual rights and the perpetuity of free government." See Exhibit 4.

# **State of Arizona Constitution Article 2 Section 2**

- "All political power is inherent in the people, and governments derive their just powers from the consent of the governed, and are established to protect and maintain individual rights." See Exhibit 4.
- 1. Plaintiff Daniel Clayton Wood, Sui Juris, is a resident of Pinal County, Arizona and of the United States.
- 2. Plaintiff Deborah Ann Boehm, Sui Juris, is a resident of Maricopa County, Arizona and of the United States
- 3. Plaintiff Brian Edward Steiner, Sui Juris, is a resident of Maricopa County, Arizona and of the United States.
- 4. Plaintiff Joseph Michael Grimm, Sui Juris, is a resident of Pima County, Arizona and of the United States.
- 5. Plaintiffs suffered injury by Trespass when the State of Arizona Legislature held unconstitutional elections since the enactment of [Arizona Revised Statutes Title 16 Chapter 4 Article 4 Sections 16-441; 16-442; 16-442.01; 16-443; 16-444; 16-445; 16-446; 16-447; 16-448; 16-449; 16-450; 16-451; 16-452] in 2002. As a result of the Arizona's Legislatures actions, government officials have usurped the People's right to hold elections in the State of Arizona. These statutes have allowed the use of voting machines, which have turned the control of elections over to (foreign

power) corporations. See United States Bill of Rights 14th Amendment; Arizona Constitution Article 2 § 21 in Exhibits 2, 3, and 4.

- 6. Defendants, the State of Arizona (Alleged) Legislature, must provide the People of the State of Arizona with a Republican form of government. In "The State of Arizona" Constitution, the People establish in Article 2 Section 3 "The Constitution of the United States is the supreme law of the land to which all government, state, and federal, is subject." See United States Constitution Article 4 § 4. See Exhibits 2 and 4.
- 7. Defendants, the State of Arizona (Alleged) Legislature, was formed to enact legislation. Arizona Constitution Article 4 §1 and Arizona Constitution Article 3 § 0. See Exhibit 4.
- 8. In order to satisfy [*A.R.S 12-1841*] the State of Arizona (Alleged) Attorney General is listed as a defendant. See Exhibit 7.

# III. Constitutional Challenge to Statutes

Whereas in Article 4 Section 4 of the United States Constitution it mandates that "The United States shall guarantee to every State in this Union a Republican Form of government..." See Exhibit 2.

## Black's Law Dictionary, 2<sup>nd</sup> Edition

**Republican Government**- "A government in the republican form; a government of the people; a government by representatives chosen by the people."

#### **Maxim of Law**

51p. The main object of government is the protection and preservation of personal rights, private property, and public liberties, and upholding the law of God.-American Maxim

## [Vahorne's Lessee v. Dorrance, 2 U.S. 304, 308 (1795)]

"In America the case is widely different: Every State in the Union has its constitution reduced to written exactitude and precision. What is a Constitution? It is the form of government, delineated by the mighty hand of the people, in which certain first principles of fundamental laws are established. The Constitution is certain and fixed; it contains the permanent will of the people, and is the supreme law of the land; it is paramount to the power of the Legislature, and can be revoked or altered only by the authority that made it. The life-giving principle and the death-doing stroke must proceed from the same hand. What

are Legislatures? Creatures of the Constitution; they owe their existence to the Constitution: they derive their powers from the Constitution: It is their commission; and, therefore, all their acts must be conformable to it, or else they will be void. The Constitution is the work or will of the People themselves, in their original, sovereign, and unlimited capacity. Law is the work or will of the Legislature in their derivative and subordinate capacity. The one is the work of the Creator, and the other of the Creature. The Constitution fixes limits to the exercise of legislative authority, and prescribes the orbit within which it must move. In short, gentlemen, the Constitution is the sun of the political system, around which all Legislative, Executive and Judicial bodies must revolve. Whatever may be the case in other countries, yet in this there can be no doubt, that every act of the Legislature, repugnant to the Constitution, as absolutely void."

Whereas in Article 7 Section 1 of the Arizona Constitution it mandates "All elections by the people shall be by ballot, or by such other method as may be prescribed by law; Provided, that secrecy in voting shall be preserved."

See Exhibit 4.

Whereas in Article 7 Section 7 of the Arizona Constitution it mandates "In all elections held by the people in this state, the person, or persons, receiving the highest number of legal votes shall be declared elected."

See Exhibit 4.

Whereas in Article 2 Section 21 in the Arizona Constitution it mandates "All elections shall be **free and equal**, and **no power**, civil or military, shall at any time interfere to prevent the free exercise of the right of suffrage." See Exhibit 4.

Take notice: that the People of Arizona established in the Arizona Constitution that our elections are to be Held by the People. The People also made it mandatory that no powers (corporations) shall interfere with the People's right of suffrage. Since 2002 the People of Arizona's rights of suffrage have been subverted by corporations and the government. The People of Arizona no longer have access to free and equal elections, leaving them disenfranchised due to the lack of a proper Electoral Due Process. See Exhibit 4.

Whereas on June 17, 2023, plaintiff Daniel Clayton Wood filed a Remonstrance by Affidavit with the State of Arizona's Senate President, Speaker of the House, Arizona Supreme Court, Governor, Secretary of State, Attorney General and the

Maricopa County Board of Supervisors. This remonstrance brings forth a list of grievances to the State of Arizona Judicial, Legislative, and Executive branches. These grievances address violations of the Arizona and United States

Constitutions. Within the remonstrance is a request for government officials to provide constitutional provisions that would give them the authority to allow corporate powers to interfere with the People's right of suffrage. See Exhibit 1.

Whereas the United States Congress enacted [Help America Vote Act (HAVA) of 2002] and the State of Arizona Legislature adopted HAVA by enacting [Arizona Revised Statutes under Title 16 Chapter 4 Article 4, Sections 16-441; 16-442; 16-442.01; 16-443; 16-444; 16-445; 16-446; 16-447; 16-448; 16-449; 16-450; 16-451; 16-452]. These statutes allow the State of Arizona and its 15 counties to enter into contracts with Corporations/LLC's/Third Parties. These contracts allow these entities to use voting machines in the Arizona Electoral Process. Maricopa County for example has a contract with Dominion Voting System, Inc. and Runbeck Election Services. See Exhibit 6. These voting machines require many operational components for them to be functional. Some of the components include EMS Hardware, Dominion Hardware and Software, Third Party Software, ImageCast Central Scanner (ICC), Linux Operating Systems, E-pollbook, XEON CPU's, AuditMark, Artificial Intelligence, Algorithms, and more. These hardware,

software, programs, and components require highly trained staff personnel to operate and maintain. The People of Arizona are removed from the Electoral Process as a result of the inability to observe the inner workings of the voting machines. See Exhibit 6.

Whereas shown in the contract between Maricopa County and Dominion Voting Systems, Inc. Maricopa County "requires support for the entire pilot election process from early voting through tabulation and canvassing. These services are outlined as follows: System Support Services - Dominion is responsible for all aspects of the initial implementation of the voting system. Dominion shall provide all "Project Management" and "Implementation Activities" onsite with "experienced Customer Relations Manager and the technical resources that are familiar with the unique requirements, processes and procedures applicable to Maricopa County". See Exhibit 6. The Board of Supervisors of Maricopa County, Arizona contracted with Dominion Voting Systems, Inc. therefor removed the Peoples ability to hold their own elections. See Exhibit 4.

Whereas contracts between the State of Arizona and its 15 counties have clauses for Intellectual Property Infringement Indemnification which further blocks the People of Arizona from holding their own Electoral Process. The contract's use of

patent, copyright, trade secret, or similar intellectual property right, subverts the chain of custody of the People's Electoral Process. All elections in The State of Arizona are to be held by the People. See Exhibit 4 and 6.

#### **Constitutional Questions are as follows:**

- 1. After reviewing the above listed information, are the statutes under Title 16 Chapter 4 Article 4 Constitutional?
- 2. Is the Help America Vote Act (HAVA) of 2002 Constitutional? By what authority did the United States Congress have to enact HAVA which interferes with the People of Arizona's right of suffrage?
- 3. Under what provisions of the Arizona Constitution did the People give the legislature the power to allow corporations to interfere with the People's Electoral Process?
- 4. What Arizona Constitutional Provisions gave the Arizona Legislature the authority to enact [*A.R.S. 16-441*]?
- 5. What Arizona Constitutional Provisions gave the Arizona Legislature the authority to enact [*A.R.S. 16-442*]? By what authority did the Arizona Legislature have to give the Secretary of State the power to form a committee which complies with help America vote act of 2002? By what

- authority did the Arizona Legislature have to allow the governing bodies of the Arizona counties, cities, and towns to adopt the use of electronic voting machines?
- 6. What Arizona Constitutional Provisions gave the Arizona Legislature the authority to enact [*A.R.S. 16-442.01*]? By what authority did the Arizona Legislature have to allow the involvement of organizations in the People of Arizona's Electoral Process?
- 7. What Arizona Constitutional Provisions gave the Arizona Legislature the authority to enact [*A.R.S. 16-443*]? By what authority did the Arizona Legislature have to allow in all elections ballots or votes cast, recorded, and counted by voting or marking devices and vote tabulating devices?
- 8. What Arizona Constitutional Provisions gave the Arizona Legislature the authority to enact [*A.R.S. 16-444*]?
- 9. What Arizona Constitutional Provisions gave the Arizona Legislature the authority to enact [*A.R.S. 16-445*]?
- 10. What Arizona Constitutional Provisions gave the Arizona Legislature the authority to enact [*A.R.S. 16-446*]? By what authority did the Arizona Legislature have to allow electronic voting systems consisting of a voting or marking device in combination with vote tabulating equipment, to provide for candidates at both primary and general elections?

- 11. What Arizona Constitutional Provisions gave the Arizona Legislature the authority to enact [*A.R.S. 16-447*]? By what authority did the Arizona Legislature have to instruct the board of supervisors for the Arizona County to provide at each polling place a device that complies with help America vote act of 2002 (P.L. 107-252)?
- 12. What Arizona Constitutional Provisions gave the Arizona Legislature the authority to enact [*A.R.S.* 16-448]?
- 13. What Arizona Constitutional Provisions gave the Arizona Legislature the authority to enact [*A.R.S.* 16-449]?
- 14. What Arizona Constitutional Provisions gave the Arizona Legislature the authority to enact [*A.R.S. 16-450*]? By what authority did the Arizona Legislature have to allow the board of supervisors of the county or the governing board of other subdivisions using devices the power to use Arizona taxpayer's funds in order to own or lease devices to tabulate ballots cast in any election?
- 15. What Arizona Constitutional Provisions gave the Arizona Legislature the authority to enact [*A.R.S. 16-451*]? By what authority did the Arizona Legislature have to allow the board of supervisors to enter into lease agreements or lease purchase agreements for the use of vote tabulating equipment?

16. What Arizona Constitutional Provisions gave the Arizona Legislature the authority to enact [*A.R.S. 16-452*]? In this Statute the Secretary of State shall provide personnel who are experts in electronic voting systems and procedures and in electronic voting system security to field check and review electronic voting systems. With the Arizona Constitution Mandatory Provisions that all elections are held by the People would this subvert the People's Electoral Process and allow for outside influence to interfere with the Peoples right of suffrage?

# IV. Remedy

## **Maxims of Law:**

**64ff.** A court can only declare what the law is, and whether consistent with the law of God, and the fundamental or constitutional law of society. [The State v. Post, 20 N.J.L. 368, 370 (1845)]

**94f.** Remedy signifies the judicial means for enforcing a right or redressing a wrong. [Walters v. City of Ottawa, 88 N. E. 651, 654, 240 III. 259.]

**94x.** Extraordinary conditions may call for extraordinary remedies. [Schechter v. United States, 295 U. S. 495, 528.]

[Vanhorne's Lessee v. Dorrance, 2 Dall. 304. "If any act of the legislature is repugnant to the Constitution, it is, ipso facto, void; and it is the duty of the court so to declare it."]

[Ibid. Flecther v. Peck, 6 Cranch, 87; 2 Cond. Rep. 308. "The Constitution fixes the limits to the exercise of legislative authority, and prescribes the orbit in which it must move. Whatever may be the case in other countries, yet here there can be no doubt that any act of the Legislature repugnant to the Constitution is absolutely void."]

Whereas a matter of necessity it is the duty of the Arizona Superior Court of Record to declare the Help America Vote Act of 2002 (HAVA) null and void.

Whereas a matter of necessity it is the duty of the Arizona Superior Court of Record to declare all [Arizona Revise Statutes under Title 16 Chapter 4 Article 4] null and void.

**Whereas** such other relief to which the Plaintiffs may show themselves to be entitled.

T 7 0 000	4 •
Varitica	tion.
Verifica	uvii.

I hereby decl	lare, certify and state, p	oursuant to the penalties of pe	rjury under the		
laws of the United States, and by the provisions of 28 USC § 1746 that all of the					
above and foregoing representations are true and correct to the best of my					
	information and belief.		3		
•		, Arizona on this	day of		
	in the Y	Year of Our Lord Two Thousa	nd Twenty-Three.		
	Autograph				
Notary as II	JRANT CERTIFICATE	F.			
JURAT	MANY CERTIFICANT	L			
State					
		ed) before me			
On this	day of	2023 before me	e,		
A Notary Pu	blic personally appeare	ed	, who proved to		
me on the basis of satisfactory evidence to be the man/women whose name is					
subscribed to	the within instrument	ts and acknowledged to me that	at he/she executed		
the same in l	nis/her authorized capa	city, and that by his/her autog	raph(s) on the		
instrument th	ne man/women execute	ed the instrument.			
I certify under PENALTY OF PERJURY under the lawful laws of Arizona State					
and that the foregoing paragraph is true and correct. WITNESS my hand and					

official seal.				
Signature of Notary / Jurat Seal				
Verification:				
I hereby declare, certify and state, laws of the United States, and by t above and foregoing representation knowledge, information and belief	he provisions of 28 USC § 1 ns are true and correct to the f.	1746 that all of the best of my		
Executed in in the	, Afizona on this Vear of Our Lord Two Thou	uay oi sand Twenty-Three		
Notary as JURANT CERTIFICAT  JURAT  State				
State				
Subscribed and sworn to (or affirm				
On this day of	2023 before	me,		
A Notary Public personally appear				
me on the basis of satisfactory evidence to be the man/women whose name is				
subscribed to the within instrumen	nts and acknowledged to me	that he/she executed		
the same in his/her authorized cap	acity, and that by his/her aut	tograph(s) on the		
instrument the man/women execut	ted the instrument.			
I certify under PENALTY OF PER	RJURY under the lawful law	rs of Arizona State		
and that the foregoing paragraph is	s true and correct. WITNES	S my hand and		

official seal.				
Signature of Notary / Jurat Seal				
<u>Verification:</u>				
I hereby declare, certify and state, pursuant to the penalties of perjury under the laws of the United States, and by the provisions of 28 USC § 1746 that all of the above and foregoing representations are true and correct to the best of my knowledge, information and belief.  Executed in, Arizona on this day of in the Year of Our Lord Two Thousand Twenty-Three.				
Autograph				
Notary as JURANT CERTIFICATE  JURAT				
State				
County				
Subscribed and sworn to (or affirmed) before me				
On this day of 2023 before me,				
A Notary Public personally appeared, who proved to				
me on the basis of satisfactory evidence to be the man/women whose name is				
subscribed to the within instruments and acknowledged to me that he/she executed				
the same in his/her authorized capacity, and that by his/her autograph(s) on the				
instrument the man/women executed the instrument.				
I certify under PENALTY OF PERJURY under the lawful laws of Arizona State				
and that the foregoing paragraph is true and correct. WITNESS my hand and				

official seal.				
Signature of Notary / Jurat Seal				
Verification:				
I hereby declare, certify and state, pursuant to the penalties of perjury under the laws of the United States, and by the provisions of 28 USC § 1746 that all of the above and foregoing representations are true and correct to the best of my knowledge, information and belief.  Executed in, Arizona on this day of in the Year of Our Lord Two Thousand Twenty-Three.				
Autograph  Notary as JURANT CERTIFICATE				
JURAT				
State				
County				
Subscribed and sworn to (or affirmed) before me				
On this day of 2023 before me,				
A Notary Public personally appeared, who proved to				
me on the basis of satisfactory evidence to be the man/women whose name is				
subscribed to the within instruments and acknowledged to me that he/she executed				
the same in his/her authorized capacity, and that by his/her autograph(s) on the				
instrument the man/women executed the instrument.				
I certify under PENALTY OF PERJURY under the lawful laws of Arizona State				
and that the foregoing paragraph is true and correct. WITNESS my hand and				

official seal.	
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Signature of Notary / Jurat Seal	